Qatar University Dissertation Award Rubric

(To be completed by the dissertation supervisor)

Nominee's Name	2:			
Program:				
Thesis/Dissertation	on Title:			
G 4 11 41 4	3 = Outstanding	2 = Good	1= Avg	Score
Contribution to	Groundbreaking research in terms of	A significant	An incre-	
the Field	theory, practice, or both. a) The study contributes to theoretical	contribution but not exceptional.	mental extension of	
	knowledge in the field.	not exceptional.	previous	
	b) The study has clear, practical		work	
	applications to the resolution			
	of a problem or problems in the field.			
Comments				
		T = -: -: -: -: -:	T	Г
Writing	Clear and concise. Terms defined,	Minor flaws in 1	Flaws that	
Quality	avoids unnecessary jargon.	to 2 areas of	hinder under-	
	Well organized, with good use of figures and tables where appropriate.	writing quality.	standing.	
Comments	rigures and tables where appropriate.			
		1		ı
Conceptual	Reviews relevant research. The	Minor flaws in 1	Flaws in	
Framework	research question, purpose, and rationale	to 2 areas of the	conceptual	
	are clear and follow from the reviewed	conceptual framework.	frame- work.	
	works. Aligns well with methods and results. The research question is	Trainework.		
	significant.			
Comments		I		
Methods &	The methods and research design are	Minor flaws in	Flaws in	
Results	appropriate to the research question.	the methods or	methods or	
1 Courts	The sources of data are sufficient (e.g.,	results.	results.	
	reliable, valid, shows triangulation of			
	data sources).			
	The analyses are appropriate and use			
	correct statistical procedures. Results are			
	clear and convincing.			
		1	1	1

Comments			
Interpretation of Findings	The results make an important contribution to the field (groundbreaking). The interpretations provide insights into the pattern of the data, notes contribution(s) to the field, and don't overstate the findings. Limitations are	Minor flaws (e.g., slight overinter- pretation of data, contribution to the field is not well explained).	Flaws in interpretations.
	noted or addressed with suggested future studies.	wen explained).	
Comments			
Evaluator (signature)		Date	